

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/004,543	12/04/2001	James H. Jannard	OAKLY1.172C1	8406
20995	7590 03/02/2004		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			DANG, HUNG XUAN	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			2873	

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applica	nt(s)			
		10/004,543	JANNAF	RD ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hung X Dang	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ 2~\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 A</u>						
2a)⊠	,—	s action is non-final.	acttoro procesution	a ao to tha marita ia			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 11-19 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) of Informal Patent Appli				

Application/Control Number: 10/004,543 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

1. The amendment filed on 1/28/04 has been entered.

## **Information Disclosure Statement**

2. The Information disclosure Statements filed on 8/25/03 has been considered.

## **Drawings**

3. The proposed drawing figure 5 filed on 1/28/04 is approved by Examiner.

## Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Spitzer** (6,349,001) in view of **Vaudrey et al** (6,311,155)

Spitzer discloses industrial safety assembly including disposable ear protection and earphone which comprises a telecommunications receiver carried inside of the eyeglass, telecommunications transmitter carried inside of the eyeglass, a battery carried by the eyeglass, a pair of earphones and a microphone is connected to an orbital.

Spitzer does not disclose the storage device comprises an MP3 storage device.

However, Vaudrey et al discloses a personal listening device (PLD) attached to the eyeglasses (see column 8, lines 40-46) wherein the personal listening device (PLD) is a MP3 playback devices (see column 23, lines 1-21.)

Because Spitzer and the Vaudrey et al are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose of storage the music as disclosed by Vaudrey et al would have been recognized as an art pertinent art of Spitzer.

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the eyeglasses frame, such as the one disclosed by Spitzer, with MP3 playback device, such as disclosed by Vaudrey et al for the purpose of storing and listening the music.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/004,543

Art Unit: 2873

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

**5.** Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Hung Dang at telephone number (703) 308-0550.

2/04

**HUNG DANG** 

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4

**ART UNIT 2873**